


DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: 

Date of Complaint:

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☒

Referred to Other Service: ☐

Retained by LECA: ☐

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The Complainant alleged that Respondent officer 1 (RO1) advised her to leave her residence with her children while investigating an Intimate Partner Violence (IPV) occurrence between her and her ex-spouse on September 8, 2024. Further, the Complainant alleged that the Children's Aid Society (CAS) advised her that the officer infringed on the children's fundamental rights.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Duty-Neglect or Omit - Sec 19 CSPA Reg. 407/23

Public-Undermine Public Trust - Sec 10 CSPA Reg. 407/23

Decision and Reasons

After a complete review of the RO1's duty reports, submitted notes, [REDACTED] policy, and relevant case law, it's clear that RO1's completed all tasks as expected under [REDACTED] IPV policy and demonstrated no intentional neglect or failure in fulfilling their duties.