

**DISCLAIMER:** In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

## DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:

Date of Complaint: 01/20/2025

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service: ☒

Referred to Other Service: ☐

Retained by LECA: ☐

Service Investigations Referred to:

### De-identified Summary of Complaint

The complainant attended a [REDACTED] Police district to file a report and have a civilian witness charged with a harassing communications. Uniform officers obtained information from the complainant with respect to her complaint, but did not find the evidence provided reached the grounds for a criminal charge and elected to speak with the civilian witness to cease further communications.

The respondent officer was directed to review the actions of the uniform officers to ensure they followed appropriate protocol and procedures following a letter sent by the complainant to senior command at the police district. The respondent officers reviewed the aspects of the complainant's report, their background with the civilian witness and obtained a video statement to confirm the details of the report. The respondent officer came to the same conclusion as the uniform officers, that the evidence provided by the complainant did not reach the grounds for a criminal charge against the civilian witness.

**Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations****NEGLECTS TO DO DUTY – CPSA Section 19**

NEGLECTS TO DO DUTY, in that he or she by act or omission, fail to perform their duties appropriately without lawful excuse if, at the time, they know or reasonably ought to know that their act or omission would amount to a failure to perform their duties appropriately.

**Decision and Reasons**

The respondent officer conducted a secondary review of the preliminary report, obtained a video statement from the complainant to confirm the allegations and evidence, and assessed the history between the complainant and civilian witness from past police reports. The respondent officer arrived at the same conclusion, that the evidence provided by the complainant did not meet the grounds for criminal charges against the civilian witness.