

**DISCLAIMER:** In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

## DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service: [REDACTED]

Date of Complaint: 07/16/2024

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service:

Referred to Other Service:

Retained by LECA:

Service Investigations Referred to:

### De-identified Summary of Complaint

The Complainant alleged that [REDACTED] officers engaged in unnecessary use of force against the Complainant during a Mental Health Act apprehension.

**Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations**

Unnecessary or excessive force against any person. O.Reg. 407/23 Section 11(1)

**Decision and Reasons**

Allegation of Unnecessary or excessive force against any person: The evidence available to the Investigator showed that officers used force against the Complainant during a Mental Health Act apprehension. The grounds for apprehension were reasonable and lawful. The level of force used against the Complainant was lawful and reasonable based on the fact that the Complainant was actively resistant during the apprehension. The interaction between the Complainant and [REDACTED] officers where use of force was used was captured entirely on building surveillance cameras without any visual obstructions.

Conclusion : Reasonable grounds to believe that the conduct of the [REDACTED] officers constituted misconduct did not exist.