

DISCLAIMER: In accordance with the *Community Safety and Policing Act* and the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, the summary below has been de-identified to remove the personal information of individuals, including public complainants and persons who were the subject of the investigation.

DE-IDENTIFIED SUMMARY UNDER SECTION 167(2) OF THE CSPA

Original Police Service:



Date of Complaint: 08/27/2024

Type of Investigation:

Referred to Same Service:

Referred to Other Service:

Retained by LECA:

Service Investigations Referred to:

De-identified Summary of Complaint

The Complainant alleged that the Respondent Officer was specifically called by the Complainant's neighbours due to a previous relationship and showed preferential treatment towards them regarding the dispute over shared property access. It is further alleged that he refused to listen to past issues, threatened the Complainant with civil action and possible arrest, and claimed that he was a by-law officer.

Unsubstantiated Code of Conduct Allegations

Undermine Public Trust - 10(1)

Decision and Reasons

The entire interaction was recorded on BWC which does not support the Complainant's allegations of any unprofessional behaviour or preferential treatment.

[Service Redacted] records corroborate that the RO was dispatched to the Complainant ' s neighbour's call for service and he acted in a professional manner throughout the interaction while attempting to reconcile the relationship between the homeowners. RO explained that civil action and/or criminal consequences could result if the dispute between the neighbours went unresolved or escalated.